## Practitioner's Docket No. U 016209-8

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group No.:

1793

In re application of: Hironori SATO, et al.

Serial No.: 10/572,738

Filed: March 21, 2006 Examiner: V.F. Faison Gee

Confirmation No. 6917

MAGENTA INK COMPOSITION, INK SET, INK CARTRIDGE, AND UTILIZING THESE, METHOD OF RECORDING, RECORDING

SYSTEM AND RECORDING PRODUCT

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For:

RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP
1793

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand comer. Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is place must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of September 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 20-21).

## RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION-FIRST PAGE

In response to the final action of 04 February 2010, please amend the above

I hereby certify	(When using Express Mail, the Expr Express Mail certif y that, on the date shown below, this correspond	R 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*  ess Mail label number is mandatory;  fication is optional.)  dence is being:  LING
_		n envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O.
□ with	37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"  Mailing Label No (mandatory)
	TRANSMISSION smitted by facsimile to the Patent and emark Office. to (571)-273-8300	EFS-WEB  transmitted electronically
Date: May 4, 2010		Signature  OLIFFORD J. MASS
*WARNING:	Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R	(type or print name of person certifying) " must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label 1.1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under  $\S$  1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement

will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.